Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: Hexy, Jhud

Post Reply 731 posts < 1 3 4 5 6 7 49 >

Search this topic...

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Mon Jun 26, 2023 4:52 pm

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.

You've yet to clarify your position.

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
>
> Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
>
> And then CLAIMING:
>
> Something and nothing cannot coexist.
>
> Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.

Mon Jun 26, 2023 9:14 am

Yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.

You've yet to clarify your position.

Thu Jun 22, 2023 4:54 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
>
> Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
>
> And then CLAIMING:
>
> Something and nothing cannot coexist.
>
> Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.

Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.

If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.

Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.

you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?

Mon Jun 26, 2023 4:52 pm

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
>
> You've yet to clarify your position.
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> >
> > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> >
> > And then CLAIMING:
> >
> > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> >
> > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
>
> Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
>
> If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
>
> Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
>
> you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:31 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
>
> You've yet to clarify your position.
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> >
> > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> >
> > And then CLAIMING:
> >
> > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> >
> > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
>
> Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
>
> If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
>
> Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
>
> you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:31 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> > > >
> > > > You've yet to clarify your position.
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > > > >
> > > > > And then CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
> > > >
> > > > Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
> > > >
> > > > If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
> > > >
> > > > Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
> > > >
> > > > you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> > > >
> > > > You've yet to clarify your position.
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > > > >
> > > > > And then CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
> > > >
> > > > Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
> > > >
> > > > If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
> > > >
> > > > Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
> > > >
> > > > you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > Immaterial expansion is simply immaterial expanse. It isn't made up of constituent elements.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:31 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
>
> You've yet to clarify your position.
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> >
> > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> >
> > And then CLAIMING:
> >
> > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> >
> > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
>
> Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
>
> If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
>
> Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
>
> you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:31 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> > > >
> > > > You've yet to clarify your position.
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > > > >
> > > > > And then CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
> > > >
> > > > Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
> > > >
> > > > If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
> > > >
> > > > Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
> > > >
> > > > you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> > > >
> > > > You've yet to clarify your position.
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > > > >
> > > > > And then CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
> > > >
> > > > Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
> > > >
> > > > If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
> > > >
> > > > Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
> > > >
> > > > you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > Immaterial expansion is simply immaterial expanse. It isn't made up of constituent elements.
>
> So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:18 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?

Again, it is not "made up of". Immaterial expanse is simply immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:26 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:18 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
>
> Again, it is not "made up of". Immaterial expanse is simply immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:27 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:18 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
> >
> > Again, it is not "made up of". Immaterial expanse is simply immaterial expanse.

AND what is ANOTHER MORE FOR 'immaterial expanse'?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:27 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:18 am
> > >
> > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > Again, it is not "made up of". Immaterial expanse is simply immaterial expanse.

IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?

Now, if you can NOT find the Right answer, or just do NOT want to SAY the Right answer, because doing so would CONTRADICT your previous CLAIMS here, then so be it.

But as I FOREWARNED you, you NEED to be OPEN and Honest in ANSWERING a few CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST, before I will POINT OUT and SHOW the CONTRADICTIONS, and/or INCONSISTENCIES, in YOUR WRITINGS here.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?

What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
>
> What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.

Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.

And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?

Closer?

That is what it is: immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:34 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > >
> > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.
>
> Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.
>
> And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?
>
> Closer?
>
> That is what it is: immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:07 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:34 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
> > > >
> > > > What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.
> >
> > Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.
> >
> > And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?
> >
> > Closer?
> >
> > That is what it is: immaterial expanse.

EXACTLY. AND, BECAUSE you appear to NOT be ABLE TO PROVIDE ANY 'thing' MORE, then I will just LEAVE 'this' for the readers to SEE IF ANY of 'them' can SPOT the CONTRADICTION in YOUR WRITINGS here, you OBVIOUSLY appear to be CLOSED and FINISHED on the PRESUMPTION and CONCLUSION that there is NO CONTRADICTION ANYWHERE in YOUR WRITINGS, correct 'daniel j lavender'?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:07 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:07 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:34 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am
> > >
> > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > > >
> > > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > > > >
> > > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
> > > > >
> > > > > What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.
> > >
> > > Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.
> > >
> > > And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?
> > >
> > > Closer?
> > >
> > > That is what it is: immaterial expanse.
>
> EXACTLY. AND, BECAUSE you appear to NOT be ABLE TO PROVIDE ANY 'thing' MORE, then I will just LEAVE 'this' for the readers to SEE IF ANY of 'them' can SPOT the CONTRADICTION in YOUR WRITINGS here, you OBVIOUSLY appear to be CLOSED and FINISHED on the PRESUMPTION and CONCLUSION that there is NO CONTRADICTION ANYWHERE in YOUR WRITINGS, correct 'daniel j lavender'?

You are unable to identify any contradiction.

The very act of recognizing some thing as "absolute" qualifies said thing as a thing. It identifies a property or quality of that thing. As stated in the original text, things have properties or qualities.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:08 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:15 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> >
> > You've yet to clarify your position.
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > >
> > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > >
> > > And then CLAIMING:
> > >
> > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > >
> > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
>
> Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
>
> If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
>
> Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
>
> you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:08 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
>
> You've yet to clarify your position.
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> >
> > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> >
> > And then CLAIMING:
> >
> > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> >
> > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
>
> Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
>
> If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
>
> Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
>
> you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:31 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> > > >
> > > > You've yet to clarify your position.
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > > > >
> > > > > And then CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
> > > >
> > > > Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
> > > >
> > > > If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
> > > >
> > > > Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
> > > >
> > > > you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 12:30 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > yes, however, just KEEP ASSUMING the POINT's here BECAUSE you do NOT want to DEVE INTO what the 'other' IS SAYING, and MEANING, and you do this BECAUSE you BELIEVE that your views here are ABSOLUTELY true, right, AND correct as they are.
> > > >
> > > > You've yet to clarify your position.
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > If you can NOT YET SEE the CONTRADICTION, in your OWN writings, then the CONTRADICTION IS CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Immaterial expansion is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as space help structure sentences.
> > > > >
> > > > > And then CLAIMING:
> > > > >
> > > > > Something and nothing cannot coexist.
> > > > >
> > > > > Evidently there is no contradiction as you cannot identify it.
> > > >
> > > > Firstly, what can be CLEARLY SEEN here is just how QUICK the people were, back then, to JUMP to a CONCLUSION, based on their OWN ASSUMPTION, even when NO ACTUAL PROOF for said ASSUMPTION and CONCLUSION even actually existed. There are, at least, three False, Wrong, AND Incorrect ASSUMPTIONS and CONCLUSIONS just in that little ten word sentence of yours here.
> > > >
> > > > If it IS the CONTRADICTION, in your TWO CLAIMS there, which you NEED NOT identifying, to you, then if you just SAID SO earlier, and had just ASKED for the IDENTIFYING FEATURES, then this' could have been RESOLVED, EARLIER.
> > > >
> > > > Now, as I FOREWARNED you, EARLIER, you WILL NEED to ANSWER CLARIFYING QUESTIONS, FIRST.
> > > >
> > > > you CLAIM that the 'immaterial expansion' is PART OF the 'STRUCTURE of existence'. So, now, what is 'immaterial expansion' made out of, or up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > Immaterial expansion is simply immaterial expanse. It isn't made up of constituent elements.
>
> So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:10 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:18 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
> >
> > Again, it is not "made up of". Immaterial expanse is simply immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:11 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:27 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:18 am
> > >
> > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > So, if 'immaterial expansion' is NOT made up of 'constituent elements', then what IS 'immaterial expanse' made up of, EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > Again, it is not "made up of". Immaterial expanse is simply immaterial expanse.

AND what is ANOTHER MORE FOR 'immaterial expanse'?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:12 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
>
> What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.

Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.

And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?

Closer?

That is what it is: immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:13 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > >
> > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
> > >
> > > What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.
>
> Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.
>
> And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?
>
> Closer?
>
> That is what it is: immaterial expanse.

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:14 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:34 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > >
> > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > > >
> > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
> > > >
> > > > What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.
> >
> > Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.
> >
> > And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?
> >
> > Closer?
> >
> > That is what it is: immaterial expanse.

EXACTLY. AND, BECAUSE you appear to NOT be ABLE TO PROVIDE ANY 'thing' MORE, then I will just LEAVE 'this' for the readers to SEE IF ANY of 'them' can SPOT the CONTRADICTION in YOUR WRITINGS here, you OBVIOUSLY appear to be CLOSED and FINISHED on the PRESUMPTION and CONCLUSION that there is NO CONTRADICTION ANYWHERE in YOUR WRITINGS, correct 'daniel j lavender'?

Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:14 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> Tue Jun 27, 2023 3:07 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:34 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 2:04 am
> > >
> > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > > >
> > > > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑
> > > > > Tue Jun 27, 2023 1:46 am
> > > > >
> > > > > > **Age** wrote: ↑
> > > > > > IF 'immaterial expanse' is, LOL, not 'made up of', then 'it is' what', EXACTLY?
> > > > >
> > > > > What the term indicates: immaterial expanse. A region or area unoccupied by materiality or energy.
> > >
> > > Great, we ARE GETTING CLOSER.
> > >
> > > And, a region or area UNOCCUPIED by material NOR energy, can also be known as an area or region of ...? And, even an area or region of 'absolute...'?
> > >
> > > Closer?
> > >
> > > That is what it is: immaterial expanse.
>
> EXACTLY. AND, BECAUSE you appear to NOT be ABLE TO PROVIDE ANY 'thing' MORE, then I will just LEAVE 'this' for the readers to SEE IF ANY of 'them' can SPOT the CONTRADICTION in YOUR WRITINGS here, you OBV